"There was a time when computers were a luxury item for American schools, but that time has clearly passed." --Bangert-Drowns, Kulik, and Kulik, 1985

INTRODUCTION

Not so long ago, the microcomputer was a rare and exotic sight in American classrooms. Then, during the 1970s, many schools began acquiring microcomputers and putting them to use for instruction, drill and practice, recordkeeping, and other applications.

The use of microcomputers expanded rapidly during the 1980s. Between 1981 and the end of the decade:

- American schools acquired over two million microcomputers.
- The number of schools owning computers increased from approximately 25 percent to virtually 100 percent.
- More than half the states began requiring--or at least recommending--preservice technology programs for all prospective teachers (Kinnaman 1990).

"The ‘information age' has clearly arrived," notes Kinnaman, "and in the '90s the educational use of computer technology will surely continue to grow." While this is no doubt an accurate prediction, many educators, legislators, parents, and researchers have expressed concern about the educational effectiveness of using microcomputers in schools. Because the acquisition of computer hardware and educational software programs involves a considerable monetary investment, these groups want assurance that computers in the schools are more than expensive and entertaining toys; they desire evidence that educational microcomputer use truly enhances learning in demonstrable ways.

Fortunately, a great deal of research has been conducted during the 1970s, 1980s, and early 1990s on the effects of computer use on student achievement, attitudes, and other variables, such as learning rate. This research covers a wide range of topics, from computerized learning activities which supplement conventional instruction, to computer programming, to
computerized recordkeeping, to the development of databases, to writing using word processors, and other applications.

The main focus of this report is the most commonly used and most frequently researched kind of educational computer use--computer-assisted instruction (CAI). Findings about other educational computer applications are presented as they relate to this main focus.

DEFINITIONS

It will be helpful, before discussing the research findings, to offer some definitions of CAI and other kinds of learning activities involving computers. As Kulik, Kulik, and Bangert-Drowns point out in their 1985 research summary, "the terminology in the area is open to dispute" (p. 59). This is putting it mildly. Those seeking to make sense of the array of terms used by educators and researchers--computer-assisted instruction, computer-based education, computer-based instruction, computer-enriched instruction, computer-managed instruction--can easily become confused. The following definitions are a synthesis of those offered by Bangert-Drowns, et al. (1985), Batey (1987), Grimes (1977), Samson et al. (1986), and Stennett (1985), and represent commonly accepted (though certainly not the only) definitions of these terms:

- **Computer-based education (CBE)** and **computer-based instruction (CBI)** are the broadest terms and can refer to virtually any kind of computer use in educational settings, including drill and practice, tutorials, simulations, instructional management, supplementary exercises, programming, database development, writing using word processors, and other applications. These terms may refer either to stand-alone computer learning activities or to computer activities which reinforce material introduced and taught by teachers.

- **Computer-assisted instruction (CAI)** is a narrower term and most often refers to drill-and-practice, tutorial, or simulation activities offered either by themselves or as supplements to traditional, teacher-directed instruction.

- **Computer-managed instruction (CMI)** can refer either to the use of computers by school staff to organize student data and make instructional decisions or to activities in which the computer evaluates students' test performance, guides them to appropriate instructional resources, and keeps records of their progress.

- **Computer-enriched instruction (CEI)** is defined as learning activities in which computers (1) generate data at the students' request to illustrate relationships in models of social or physical reality, (2) execute programs developed by the students, or (3) provide general enrichment in relatively unstructured exercises designed to stimulate and motivate students.

THE CAI RESEARCH BASE

The findings offered in this summary emerge from an analysis of the 59 research reports cited in the Key References section of the annotated bibliography. Each of these reports documents some relationship(s) between computer-based learning and student outcomes. Twentyeight are research studies, 22 are reviews, and 9 are meta-analyses of research studies. Twelve of the documents focus on elementary students, 19 are concerned with secondary students, 7 cover the elementary-secondary range, 5 involve subjects spanning the elementary-postsecondary range, and the age/grade levels of subjects are not specified in 16 of the reports.
Most of the studies involved American students, but Israeli and Canadian subjects are also represented. Other specific populations serving as subjects in the documents include economically disadvantaged students (4), special education students (5), remedial students (2), and Hispanic students (2). The rest of the documents either concerned general student populations or did not specify characteristics of their subjects.

The 59 reports were concerned with the effects one or more of the following types of educational computer use on student outcomes: CAI (35), CBE in general (15), the use of word processors for written composition (5), computer-managed instruction (3), programming (2), and simulations (4).

The effects of computer use on a large number of outcome areas were examined, including academic achievement in general (30), in mathematics (13), in language arts (8), in reading (3), in science (2), in problem-solving skills (2), and in health and social studies (1 each). Studies also focused on students' attitudes toward the content of courses in which computers were used (21), toward computers themselves (19), toward school in general (6), toward the quality of instruction in courses with computer activities (4), and toward themselves as learners (4). Other outcome areas include learning rate (10), learning retention (9), locus of control and motivation, computer literacy, and cooperation/helping (4 each).

Beyond these outcome-focused reports, the General References section of the bibliography cites 18 additional reports on related topics, such as teacher training to conduct CAI effectively, cost-effectiveness of CAI, discussions of current and potential applications of computers in education, and examinations of students' favorable attitudes toward computer activities.

### RESEARCH FINDINGS

#### MICROCOMPUTER USE AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

The single best-supported finding in the research literature is that the use of CAI as a supplement to traditional, teacher-directed instruction produces achievement effects superior to those obtained with traditional instruction alone. Generally speaking, this finding holds true for students of different ages and abilities and for learning in different curricular areas. As summarized in Stennett's 1985 review of reviews, "well-designed and implemented D&P [drill-and-practice] or tutorial CAI, used as a supplement to traditional instruction, produces an educationally significant improvement in students' final examination achievement" (p. 7).


Some writers also reported on research which compared the effects of CAI alone with those produced by conventional instruction alone. Here, results are too mixed to permit any firm conclusion. Some inquiries have found CAI superior, some have found conventional instruction...
superior, and still others have found no difference between them.

(Capper and Copple 1985; Edwards, et al. 1975; Rapaport and Savard 1980.)

Other researchers and reviewers compared the achievement effects produced by all forms of computer-based instruction (sometimes alone and sometimes as a supplement to traditional instruction) as compared with the effects of traditional instruction alone. While the research support is not as strong as that indicating the superiority of CAI, the evidence nevertheless indicates that CBE approaches as a whole produce higher achievement than traditional instruction by itself.


This group of findings supports the conclusion drawn by Dalton and Hannafin in their 1988 study to the effect that "while both traditional and computer-based delivery systems have valuable roles in supporting instruction, they are of greatest value when complementing one another" (p. 32).

Researchers concerned with student writing outcomes have determined that writing performance is superior when the teaching approach emphasizes "writing as a process," rather than focusing only on the end product—the finished composition. The writing-as-a-process approach encourages students to engage in prewriting activities, followed by drafting, revising, editing, and final publication, with each step receiving considerable attention and often feedback from teachers or peer editors.

Word processing programs, with their capability to add, delete, and rearrange text, are seen as being far more congruent with the writing process than more laborious pencil-and-paper approaches. And indeed, most research in this area indicates that the use of word processors in writing programs leads to better writing outcomes than the use of paper-and-pencil or conventional typewriters. Specific positive outcomes associated with the use of word processors in writing include:

- Longer written samples
- Greater variety of word usage
- More variety of sentence structure
- More accurate mechanics and spelling
- More substantial revision
- Greater responsiveness to teacher and peer feedback
- Better understanding of the writing process
- Better attitudes toward writing
- Freedom from the problem of illegible handwriting.

(Batey 1986; Bialo and Sivin 1990; Collins and Sommers 1984; Dickinson 1986; Kinnaman 1990; MacGregor 1986; Office of Technology Assessment 1988; Parson 1985; Rodriguez and Rodriguez 1986; Sommer and Collins 1984.)

Researchers are careful to point out that these desirable outcomes are obtained when computers are used as part of a holistic, writing-as-a-process approach. Only using computers for drill and practice on isolated subskills, such as grammar and mechanics, is not associated with improved
writing achievement. As expressed by Sommers and Collins in their 1984 article on computers and writing, "microcomputers are counterproductive when used in a theoretical vacuum" (p. 7).

**LEARNING RATE**

As well as enabling students to achieve at higher levels, researchers have also found that CAI enhances learning rate. Student learning rate is faster with CAI than with conventional instruction. In some research studies, the students learned the same amount of material in less time than the traditionally instructed students; in others, they learned more material in the same time. While most researchers don't specify how much faster CAI students learn, the work of Capper and Copple (1985) led them to the conclusion that CAI users sometimes learn as much as 40 percent faster than those receiving traditional, teacher-directed instruction.


**RETENTION OF LEARNING**

If students receiving CAI learn better and faster than students receiving conventional instruction alone, do they also retain their learning better? The answer, according to researchers who have conducted comparative studies of learning retention, is yes. In this research, student scores on delayed tests indicate that the retention of content learned using CAI is superior to retention following traditional instruction alone.


**ATTITUDES**

Much of the research that examines the effects of CAI and other microcomputer applications on student learning outcomes also investigates effects upon student attitudes. This line of inquiry has brought most researchers to the conclusion that the use of CAI leads to more positive student attitudes than the use of conventional instruction. This general finding has emerged from studies of the effects of CAI on student attitudes toward:

- Course content/subject matter (Batey 1986; Braun 1990; Dalton and Hannafin 1988; Ehman and Glen 1987; Hounshell and Hill 1989; Rapaport and Savard 1980; Roblyer, et al. 1988; Rodriguez and Rodriguez 1986; Stennett 1985)
- Quality of instruction (Kulik, Bangert, and Williams 1983; Kulik and Kulik 1987; Rupe 1986; White 1983)
- School in general (Batey 1986; Bialo and Sivin 1990; Ehman and Glen 1987; Roblyer, et al. 1988)

**OTHER BENEFICIAL EFFECTS**
The effects of CAI on other student outcomes have not been as extensively researched as CAI's effects on achievement, learning rate, retention, and attitudes. Some researchers have, however, investigated CAI's influence on other variables and found it to confer benefits on:

- Locus of control. Capper and Copple (1985), Kinnaman (1990), and Louie (1985) found that CAI students have more of an internal locus of control/sense of self-efficacy than conventionally instructed students.
- Attendance. CAI students had better attendance in Capper and Copple's 1985 study, Rupe's 1986 review, and the 1990 ISTE study.
- Motivation/time-on-task. Bialo and Sivin (1990) and Capper and Copple (1985) found that CAI students had higher rates of time-on-task than traditionally instructed controls.
- Cooperation/collaboration. Cooperative, prosocial behavior was greater with CAI in the work of Dickinson (1986); Mevarech, Stern, and Levita (1987); and Rupe (1986).

CAI AND DIFFERENT STUDENT POPULATIONS

Is CAI more effective with some student populations than others? Many researchers have conducted comparative analyses to answer this question and have produced findings in several areas.

Younger versus older students. Most comparative studies have shown that CAI is more beneficial for younger students than for older ones. While research shows CAI to be beneficial to students in general, the degree of impact decreases from the elementary to secondary to postsecondary levels.


Lower-achieving versus higher-achieving students. These comparisons show that CAI is more effective with lower-achieving students than with higher-achieving ones. Again, both lower- and higher-achieving students benefit from CAI. However, the comparatively greater benefits experienced by lower-achieving students, like those experienced by younger students, are largely due to the need these groups have for elements common to the majority of CAI programs--extensive drill and practice, privacy, and immediate feedback and reinforcement.


Economically disadvantaged versus higher-SES students. Researchers note that CAI confers greater benefits on economically disadvantaged students than those from more privileged backgrounds. Lower SES students, too, benefit greatly from opportunities to interact privately with CAI drill-and-practice and tutorial programs.

(Bangert-Drowns, et al. 1985; Becker 1990; Mevarech and Rich 1985; Ragosta, Holland, and Jamison 1982; Stennett 1985.)

Lower- versus higher-cognitive outcomes. Closely related to the above is the finding that CAI is more effective for teaching lower-cognitive material than higher-cognitive material. This research makes essentially the same point--that CAI is particularly effective for reinforcing the basic, fact-oriented learning most often engaged in by younger, lower-achieving, and/or lower SES students.
Handicapped learners. Research conducted with learning disabled, mentally retarded, hearing impaired, emotionally disturbed, and language disordered students indicates that their achievement levels are greater with CAI than with conventional instruction alone. In some of this research, handicapped CAI students even outperformed conventionally taught, nonhandicapped students.

Males versus females. This comparison was not addressed by enough researchers to draw firm conclusions. The 1988 meta-analysis of 82 studies of CBE conducted by Roblyer, et al. concluded that effect differences slightly favor boys over girls, with differences falling short of statistical significance.

CAI AND DIFFERENT CURRICULAR AREAS

A few researchers undertook to compare the effectiveness of CAI in different curricular areas. Their findings, though not conclusive, indicate that CAI activities are most effective in the areas of science and foreign languages, followed, in descending order of effectiveness, by activities in mathematics, reading, language arts, and English as a Second Language, with CAI activities in ESL found to be largely ineffective.

WHY STUDENTS LIKE CAI

An earlier section of this report offers research evidence showing that CAI enhances student attitudes toward several aspects of schooling. Some researchers took these investigations a step further by asking students what it is about CAI that they like. The following is a list of reasons given by students for liking CAI activities and/or favoring them over traditional learning. These student preferences also contribute to our understanding of why CAI enhances achievement.

Students say they like working with computers because computers:

- Are infinitely patient
- Never get tired
- Never get frustrated or angry
- Allow students to work privately
- Never forget to correct or praise
- Are fun and entertaining
- Individualize learning
- Are self-paced
- Do not embarrass students who make mistakes
- Make it possible to experiment with different options
- Give immediate feedback
- Are more objective than teachers
- Free teachers for more meaningful contact with students
- Are impartial to race or ethnicity
- Are great motivators
- Give a sense of control over learning
- Are excellent for drill and practice
- Call for using sight, hearing, and touch
- Teach in small increments
- Help students improve their spelling
- Build proficiency in computer use, which will be valuable later in life
- Eliminate the drudgery of doing certain learning activities by hand (e.g., drawing graphs)
- Work rapidly--closer to the rate of human thought.

(Bialo and Sivin 1990; Braun 1990; Lawton and Gerschner 1982; Mokros and Tinker 1987; Robertson, et al. 1987; Rupe 1986; Schmidt, et al. 1985-86; Wepner 1990.)

Many of these items point to students' appreciation of the immediate, objective, and positive feedback provided by computer learning activities by comparison with teacher-directed activities. As Robertson, et al. (1987) point out:

"This reduction in negative reinforcement allows the student to learn through trial and error at his or her own pace. Therefore, positive attitudes can be protected and enhanced" (p. 314).

**COST-EFFECTIVENESS**

While cost considerations are not a major focus of this report, it is worth noting that some of the research on effectiveness also addressed the cost-effectiveness of CAI and other computer applications. Ragosta, Holland, and Jamison (1982) concluded that equal amounts of time of CAI reinforcement and the more-expensive one-to-one tutoring produced equal achievement effects. Niemiec, Sikorski, and Walberg (1989) also found CAI activities significantly more cost-effective than tutoring and suggested that computers be used more extensively in schools. And in their 1986 study of costs, effects, and utility of CAI, Hawley, Fletcher, and Piele noted that the cost differences between CAI and traditional instruction were insignificant and concluded that "the microcomputer-assisted instruction was the cost-effective alternative of choice" for both grades addressed in the study (p. 22).

**SUMMARY**

The research base reviewed in preparation for this report indicates that:

- The use of CAI as a supplement to conventional instruction produces higher achievement than the use of conventional instruction alone.
- Research is inconclusive regarding the comparative effectiveness of conventional instruction alone and CAI alone.
- Computer-based education (CAI and other computer applications) produce higher achievement than conventional instruction alone.
- Student use of word processors to develop writing skills leads to higher-quality written work than other writing methods (paper and pencil, conventional typewriters).
- Students learn material faster with CAI than with conventional instruction alone.
- Students retain what they have learned better with CAI than with conventional instruction alone.
- The use of CAI leads to more positive attitudes toward computers, course content, quality
of instruction, school in general, and self-as-learner than the use of conventional instruction alone.

- The use of CAI is associated with other beneficial outcomes, including greater internal locus of control, school attendance, motivation/time-on-task, and student-student cooperation and collaboration than the use of conventional instruction alone.
- CAI is more beneficial for younger students than older ones.
- CAI is more beneficial with lower-achieving students than with higher-achieving ones.
- Economically disadvantaged students benefit more from CAI than students from higher socioeconomic backgrounds.
- CAI is more effective for teaching lower-cognitive material than higher-cognitive material.
- Most handicapped students, including learning disabled, mentally retarded, hearing impaired, emotionally disturbed, and language disordered, achieve at higher levels with CAI than with conventional instruction alone.
- There are no significant differences in the effectiveness of CAI with male and female students.
- Students' fondness for CAI activities centers around the immediate, objective, and positive feedback provided by these activities.
- CAI activities appear to be at least as cost-effective as--and sometimes more cost-effective than-- other instructional methods, such as teacher-directed instruction and tutoring.

"Most programs of computer-based instruction evaluated in the past," wrote Kulik and Kulik in 1987 "have produced positive effects on student learning and attitudes. Further programs for developing and implementing computer-based instruction should therefore be encouraged." Based on review of the research evidence published both before and after Kulik and Kulik's paper, the present report strongly supports this conclusion.
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Examines the connection between degree of control of CAI lessons, locus of control (LOC), and achievement of Hispanic junior high students. Although it was expected that internal LOC students would outperform external LOC students in the maximum-control situation, this was not the case.
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Reviews nine reviews and meta-analyses on the effectiveness of computer-based education. Major finding: CBE is effective in promoting learning, particularly when used to supplement traditional, teacher-directed instruction.
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Reviews research on the self-esteem effects of CAI, then compares the self-esteem scores of eighth and ninth grade students receiving only traditional instruction with the scores of students whose instruction was supplemented with CAI activities. CAI participants had significantly higher self-esteem ratings than control students.


Summarizes a meta-analysis described in detail in Roblyer, et al. 1988 (see entry below).


Describes the methodology and findings from a metaanalysis of 82 studies and dissertations on the use of microcomputers in education from the elementary through college and other adult levels. Research generally indicates favorable achievement effects, but with some notable exceptions, such as ESL.
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Reviews research on the effects of CAI, as well as reviewing literature on other aspects of computer use in education. Reports favorable results regarding CAI and achievement, attitudes, learning time requirements, learning retention, social development, and selfesteem.