INTRODUCTION

A sense of the value of time--that is, of the best way to divide one's time into one's various activities--is an essential preliminary of efficient work.

--Arnold Bennett

Dost thou love life? Then do not squander time, for that is the stuff life is made of.

--Benjamin Franklin

Western culture is rich with words of wisdom on the subject of time. The first of the above passages has to do with the proper allocation of time to different pursuits. The second is a piece of advice about using time well.

Because time is obviously essential for learning, and because educators view time as something they can manipulate at least partially, a large body of educational literature has grown up around these two related matters of time allocation and time usage.

BACKGROUND

Researchers and theorists concerned with educational time factors often begin their comments with a nod in the direction of John B. Carroll, whose landmark 1963 article, "A Model of School Learning," defined degree of learning as **TIME ACTUALLY SPENT IN LEARNING DIVIDED BY TIME NEEDED FOR LEARNING**. In Carroll's model, the time needed for a given student to learn a given concept depends upon five factors:

- **APTITUDE** - the amount of time an individual needs to learn a given task under optimal instructional conditions
- **ABILITY** - capacity to understand instruction
- **PERSEVERANCE** - the amount of time the individual is willing to engage actively in learning
- **OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN** - the time allowed for learning
- **QUALITY OF INSTRUCTION** - the degree to which instruction is presented so as not to require additional time for mastery beyond that required by the aptitude of the
Carroll's work is widely regarded as the beginning of modern inquiry into the effects of time factors in the learning process. Those interested in theoretical models of the time-learning relationship are encouraged to consult Carroll's writings, as well as those of Benjamin Bloom, David Wiley and Annegret Harnischfeger, and Charles W. Fisher and his colleagues involved in the Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study (see the references). The focus of the present review is the array of findings emerging from the research on the effects of various educational time factors on student outcomes.

**DEFINITIONS**

Understanding the research on the effects of time factors requires some familiarity with the different kinds of educational time with which researchers are concerned. These definitions are taken from the work of Anderson (1983), Bloom (1976), and Fisher, et al. (1980).

**ALLOCATED TIME** is the amount of time specified for an activity or event. When educators and educational researchers speak of allocated time, they are referring to one of the following elements:

- **SCHOOL TIME** - the amount of time spent in school. When used this way, allocated time may refer to the number of school days in a year or the number of hours in a school day.
- **CLASSROOM TIME** - the amount of time spent in the classrooms within the school (i.e., excluding lunch, recess, time spent changing classes, etc.).
- **INSTRUCTIONAL TIME** - the portion of classroom time spent teaching students particular knowledge, concepts, and skills pertaining to school subjects (i.e., excludes routine procedural matters, transitions, and discipline).

**ENGAGED TIME**, or **TIME-ON-TASK**, refers to portions of time during which students are paying attention to a learning task and attempting to learn. This excludes time spent socializing, daydreaming, engaging in antisocial behavior, etc.

**ACADEMIC LEARNING TIME** (ALT) is a term and concept emerging from a large-scale research effort called the Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study (BTES) conducted in the 1970s. ALT refers to that portion of engaged time that students spend working on tasks at an appropriate level of difficulty for them and experiencing high levels of success (excludes time spent engaged in tasks which are too easy or too difficult).

**DEAD TIME** - refers to periods of classroom time during which there is nothing students are expected to be doing; that is, time which the teacher has failed to manage in any way.

It is important to note that these different measures do not merely refer to different amounts of time or to time spent in different environments. Instead, they represent different ways of conceiving of time and its expenditure. In a recent conversation with the present author, researcher Lorin Anderson addressed these conceptually different notions.

Allocated time, Anderson says, "tells you something about values," that is, the values of a district, school, or teacher are implicit in the relative amounts of time allocated to different activities.
Instructional time "tells you something about classroom organization and management." In other words, the time actually available for and spent in teaching is indicative of the teacher's ability to organize instructional activities and expedite noninstructional ones such as transitions and discipline. Dead time measures also permit inferences about the teacher's organization/management skills.

Time-on-task, meanwhile, "tells you something about teaching," that is, it reveals the teacher's skill in selecting learning activities which engage students' attention and in keeping them focused.

Finally, academic learning time "tells you something about learning," in that it refers to situations in which student and learning material are well-matched and learning is occurring in a fairly ideal fashion.

---

**THE RESEARCH BASE ON EDUCATIONAL TIME FACTORS**

**CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESEARCH**

The findings presented here are based on an analysis of 57 research studies concerned with the relationship between one or more of the educational time factors cited above and the student outcomes of achievement and attitudes. Twenty-nine are primary sources (studies or evaluations) and 28 are secondary source (reviews, syntheses, and meta-analyses).

Student populations participating in the research projects include a wide range of socioeconomic, racial, and ethnic groups and ability levels. Most of the research was conducted in the United States, although German, British, Australian, Canadian, and Israeli studies are also represented in the research base.

Eighteen of the reports are concerned with elementary students, eight with secondary students, and 31 with the entire elementary-secondary range.

Thirty of the documents are concerned with the relationship to student outcomes of allocated time, 38 with the effects of time-on-task, and 11 with the outcomes produced by academic learning time (ALT). Many of the investigations focused on more than one type of educational time.

Many were also concerned with the effects of time factors on more than one outcome area. General achievement was the focus of 35 of the documents. Other outcomes of interest in the reports include reading (11 documents); mathematics (12); student attitudes (6); retention of learning (4); science (2); and spelling, social studies, foreign languages, IQ, dropout rates, motivation, anxiety level, learning rate, and self-concept (1 each).

The research on time factors is closely related to research in two others areas--mastery learning and homework. Both bodies of research are concerned, in part, with the effects produced by increased time allocations for learning and by increased time-on-task.

Full-scale reviews of the homework research and the mastery learning research are outside the scope of this report. However, since these topics can scarcely be ignored in a review of educational time factors, a few recent, major summaries in each area have been included among the references supporting this analysis. The findings from the homework literature are quite consistent; but because there is controversy among researchers about the effects of
mastery learning, an attempt has been made to reflect the positions held by different investigators and to limit the focus to the increased time component of mastery programs.

In addition to the 57 key references whose characteristics are outlined above, the "Other References" section of the bibliography contains 28 citations. Articles identified in this section include research on educational time use and the relationship of time to other school variables, models and theories, guidelines for increasing time-on-task, methods for logging different kinds of time use, and other related topics.

---

**RESEARCH FINDINGS**

**EDUCATIONAL TIME USE**

The first realization that confronts the student of educational time research is that there are large differences in instructional time allocations across schools and classrooms. Anderson (1980); Karweit (1984, 1985); Hossler, Stage, and Gallagher (1988) and many others have noted that the amount of exposure to instruction in a given curricular area can vary enormously—so that students in one school or classroom may experience three or more times as much mathematics instruction, for example, as those in another setting.

Karweit (1984) and others note that further variation in exposure to instruction is caused by factors such as absenteeism, tardies, classroom disruption, dead time, and closures due to strikes or weather conditions.

Researchers have also made comparisons such as the ratio of school time to instructional time and the ratio of classroom time to time-on-task, and their investigations have produced findings that many find shocking. Honzay (1986-87) and Karweit (1984, 1985) found that only about half the typical school day is actually used for instruction, the remainder of the time being taken up by schoolwide and classroom matters of a noninstructional nature. And according to Anderson (1983); Fredrick, Walberg, and Rasher (1979); and Seifert and Beck (1984), students spend only about half their in-class time actually engaged in learning activities, the rest of the time being expended in classroom procedural matters, transitions, disciplinary matters, dead time, or off-task activities.

These and related findings led the National Commission on Excellence, in their 1983 report, to call for "...more effective use of the existing school day, a longer school day or a lengthened school year" (p. 29).

**TIME USE AND STUDENT OUTCOMES - GENERAL FINDINGS**

Before discussing potential policy changes regarding time allocations and time use, it is important to examine what educational researchers have determined about the effects of various time factors on achievement and other student outcomes. General findings are cited in this section.

**THERE IS A SMALL POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ALLOCATED TIME (HOWEVER MEASURED) AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT.**

A few studies (e.g., Wiley and Harnischfeger 1974; Kidder, O'Reilly, and Keisling 1975) have found a strong positive relationship between quantity of schooling and achievement, and some investigators have found virtually no relationship (e.g., Smith 1979 and some of
the studies reviewed by Borg 1980). But most researchers and reviewers have identified a weak, non-statistically significant-- but positive-- relationship to achievement. This general finding has emerged from the work of Anderson (1980, 1981); Blai (1986); Borg (1980); Brown and Saks (1986); Cotton and Savard (1981); Fisher and Berliner (1985); Fredrick and Walberg (1980); Honzay (1986-87); Karweit (1976, 1985); Leach and Tunnecliffe (1984); Levin and Tsang (1987); Lomax and Cooley (1979); Mazzarella (1984); O'Donnell (1978); Quartarola (1984); and Walberg (1988).

Obviously, if there is no time at all allocated for learning a particular subject, then learning will not take place. But what the above-referenced research indicates is that when students experience greater quantities of allocated time, their achievement is only very slightly better than those experiencing lesser quantities.

THERE IS A POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TIME-ON-TASK AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT; THIS RELATIONSHIP IS STRONGER THAN THE ALLOCATED TIME-ACHIEVEMENT RELATIONSHIP, BUT IS STILL MODEST.

This finding comes from virtually all investigators whose work was consulted for this analysis (Anderson 1975, 1980; Borg 1980; Cotton and Savard 1981; Derevensky, Hart, and Farrell 1983; Fisher and Berliner 1985; Good and Beckerman 1978; Guskey and Gates 1986; Hossler, Stage, and Gallagher 1988; Karweit 1982, 1984, 1985; Leach and Tunnecliffe 1984; Lomax and Cooley 1979; Mazzarella 1984; McGarity and Butts 1984; O'Donnell 1978; Quartarola 1984; Rosenshine 1979; and Sanford and Evertson 1983).

This finding about time-on-task needs to be interpreted with some caution, however. As we shall see, there is reason to believe that the effects of a given amount of time-on-task appear to be different depending upon student characteristics, instructional strategies, and subject matter.

THERE IS A STRONG POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACADEMIC LEARNING TIME (ALT) AND BOTH STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND ATTITUDES.

ALT is a very specific kind of time use; it refers as much to a kind of instruction as it does to time PER SE. And because it is so closely tied to the nature of the learning task, all researchers and reviewers who investigated its effects found it to bear a very close relationship to the achievement and attitudes of students involved in it, i.e., Anderson (1980; Borg (1980); Cotton and Savard (1981); Fisher and Berliner (1985); Karweit (1984); Mazzarella (1984); O'Donnell (1978); Quartarola (1984); Sanford and Evertson (1983); and Walberg (1988).

These same researchers have also identified ways of using time that are negatively related to achievement. These include off-task behavior, dead time, social interactions, disruptions, disciplinary actions, and some forms of seatwork (e.g., in-class silent reading).

KINDS OF TIME-ON-TASK

The finding noted above--that academic learning time (ALT) bears a strong positive relationship to student achievement--indicates that not all forms of time-on-task are equal in their effects. Researchers have devoted a great deal of attention to the QUALITY of
time-on-task expended by students, and have made discoveries of consequence to educators and policymakers.

**TIME-ON-TASK IN INTERACTIVE ACTIVITIES WITH A TEACHER PRODUCES GREATER ACHIEVEMENT AND BETTER ATTITUDES THAN TIME-ON-TASK IN SEATWORK.**

This finding emerges from the work of many researchers interested in the effects of time-on-task (e.g., Borg 1980; Quartarola 1984; Rosenshine 1979; Sanford and Evertson 1983; Seifert and Beck 1984; Stallings 1980; and Strother 1984). Specific interactive activities identified by these researchers as beneficial uses of student and teacher time include:

- The use of immediate feedback and correctives in classroom recitations
- Focused questions, praise, and reinforcement
- Listening and thinking during classroom interactions
- Discussion/review, reading aloud, verbal drill and practice

Some researchers have taken a closer look at the effects of time-on-task in seatwork and have determined that not all seatwork activities are equal in their effects. Although these researchers were not specifically concerned with the ALT concept, their findings point to the positive effects produced by seatwork activities likely to increase ALT.

**SEATWORK IS MOST BENEFICIAL TO STUDENTS WHEN TEACHERS PREPARE ACTIVITIES CAREFULLY, MANAGE SEATWORK EFFICIENTLY, SUPERVISE IT ACTIVELY, AND GIVE STUDENTS HELP AND FEEDBACK IN SUCH A WAY THAT OTHER STUDENTS ARE NOT DISTURBED.**

Rosenshine (1979) found this to be true regarding the seatwork component of his direct instruction model, and this finding has also emerged in the work of Strother (1984) and of Helmke and Schrader (1988), who write:

...qualitative, not quantitative, factors are crucial for the effectiveness of practice....First, adequate external conditions must be provided for independent practice; for example, nonacademic activities, procedures, and disruptions should be held to a minimum. Second, the continuity of seatwork seems to be of special significance....independent practice is of benefit only if student have already attained a minimum level of competency....Third, practice is more successful when the teacher actively circulates around the classroom, not only monitoring but also supervising students' work and giving support to single students discreetly, without distracting other students (p. 74).

Conversely, researchers (e.g., Quartarola 1984) have found that unmonitored seatwork and seatwork activities which are not matched to student ability levels are at best unrelated to achievement and sometimes have negative achievement effects.

The foregoing findings have mostly to do with different kind of structures and with the overt activities of students. Covert measures of student engagement are important, too, and researchers have found that these are an even better indicator of the quality of time-on-task during seatwork than are overt measures:

**TIME-ON-TASK IN SEATWORK ACTIVITIES IS MOST BENEFICIAL WHEN STUDENTS' THOUGHTS ARE FOCUSED ON SPECIFIC COGNITIVE**
STRATEGIES AND ON MOTIVATIONAL IDEAS.

Peterson and Swing (1982), among others, have noted that when students' thoughts are focused on "I can do it," and "here's how I can do it," achievement results are superior to those produced by thoughts unrelated to the task or reflective of anxiety about the task. These researchers point to the importance of gathering students' reports about their thought processes as part of research on the effects of time factors, since it is easy for observers to mistake an on-task student for one who is off-task and vice versa.

MASTERY LEARNING AND HOMEWORK

If certain kinds of time-on-task are positively related to student achievement, it is reasonable to expect that instructional approaches which foster those kinds of time-on-task would be successful in promoting achievement increases. Mastery learning, with its emphasis on specific objectives, careful teaching to those objectives, and provision of additional time allotments to those students who initially fail to reach a predetermined criterion on formative tests, has frequently been found superior to nonmastery approaches in fostering achievement gains.

As noted earlier in this report, research results on the effectiveness of group-based mastery learning programs are mixed, and this is not the place to examine in detail the many mastery learning studies and their findings. However, looking at the work of researchers as diverse in their views and findings as Block, Efthim, and Burns (1989); Slavin (1987), and Guskey and Gates (1986), one can say with confidence that:

THE SUCCESS OF MASTERY LEARNING PROGRAMS IN PROMOTING LEARNING GAINS IS DUE LARGELY TO THE EXTRA AMOUNTS OF QUALITY TIME-ON-TASK EXPENDED BY STUDENTS IN THESE PROGRAMS, AND PARTICULARLY BY MIDDLE- AND LOWER-ABILITY STUDENTS.

The research on homework has produced more consistent findings. While researchers are careful to point out that homework PER SE is unlikely to produce achievement gains, they have concluded that:

APPROPRIATE KINDS AND AMOUNTS OF HOMEWORK RAISE ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS FOR STUDENTS ABOVE THE PRIMARY GRADES.

And what are appropriate kinds and amounts of homework? The attributes of effective homework assignments are identified in the work of Butler (1987); Holmes and Cross (1989); Hossler, Stage, and Gallagher (1988), and Paschal, Weinstein, and Walberg (1984). They have found that homework is most beneficial when it is:

- Relevant to learning objectives
- Appropriate to students' ability and maturity levels
- Assigned regularly (Paschal, Weinstein, and Walberg 1984 found the greatest benefits produced by daily homework assignments.)
- Assigned in reasonable amounts (Thirty minutes per subject per day, say Hossler, Stage and Gallagher 1988.)
- Well explained and motivational
- Collected and reviewed during class time
- Used as an occasion for giving feedback to students
• Supported by parents (e.g., arranging for study space, signing off on assignments)

TIME FACTORS AND STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

Thus far, the findings presented pertain to the effects of time allocations and time-on-task on students in general. More specific findings include:

INCREASING ALLOCATED OR ENGAGED TIME IS MORE BENEFICIAL TO LOWER-ABILITY STUDENTS THAN TO HIGHER-ABILITY STUDENTS.

Since student performance is dependent upon the amount of time NEEDED to learn as well as the amount of time provided, only those students who need greater amounts of time to learn (and who, perhaps, do not normally have enough time to pursue tasks thoroughly and learn them well) perform better when they are given and make use of additional learning time. Various researchers (e.g., Anderson 1983; Brown and Saks 1986; Gettinger 1984, 1989; Kidder, O'Reilly, and Kiesling 1975, and others) have noted that:

HIGHER-ABILITY STUDENTS BENEFIT FROM INCREASES IN ALLOCATED AND/OR ENGAGED TIME VERY SLIGHTLY, IF AT ALL.

Gettinger (1984) writes:

...the present findings suggest that the consequence of additional instructional time may not be the same for all students because there are individual differences in how much exposure or instruction is actually needed for mastery (p. 626).

Another way in which time-on-task affects different students differently emerges from the work of Guida, Ludlow, and Wilson (1985), who found that:

INCREASING TIME-ON-TASK REDUCES THE ANXIETY AND ENHANCES THE ACHIEVEMENT OF HIGHLY ANXIOUS STUDENTS.

TIME FACTORS AND DIFFERENT SUBJECT AREAS

What about the effects of increasing the amounts of time students spend engaged in different curricular areas? The work of researchers such as Block, Efthim, and Burns (1989); Sanford and Evertson (1983); and Stallings (1984), leads to the conclusion that:

INCREASING TIME-ON-TASK IS MORE BENEFICIAL IN THE MORE HIGHLY STRUCTURED SUBJECTS, SUCH AS MATHEMATICS AND FOREIGN LANGUAGES, THAN IN THE LESS STRUCTURED ONES, SUCH AS LANGUAGE ARTS AND SOCIAL STUDIES.

THE RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE ON INCREASING TIME ALLOCATIONS

Because some educators and legislators would have schools increase time allocations as a means of increasing student achievement, many researchers have examined their own research and that of others to determine the likely effects of such increases. Keeping in mind that the concept of ALLOCATED TIME has different meanings, we will look first at what researchers have concluded about the likely effects of increasing the overall quantity of schooling, that is, lengthening the school day, the school year, or both:
SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN THE QUANTITY OF SCHOOLING WOULD BE REQUIRED TO BRING ABOUT EVEN MODEST INCREASES IN ACHIEVEMENT. THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EXTENDING THE SCHOOL DAY OR YEAR ARE THEREFORE NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

This conclusion is drawn by researchers and reviewers as diverse as Hossler, Stage, and Gallagher (1988); Karweit (1985); Levin and Tsang (1987); Mazzarella (1984); Quartarola (1984); and Slavin (1987). Karweit (1985) writes:

The inconsistencies of the research results, the often weak effects for time, the concentration of studies on elementary school populations, and the diversity of sources and problems with school time all suggest that blanket increases of time for schooling are at best likely to have an uncertain outcome. The addition of raw numbers of hours obviously does not guarantee that the additional time will be used to any better purpose than the present time is used (p. 14).

What about teachers reallocating time within classrooms so as to increase time allocations for subjects where achievement increases have been deemed important?

INCREASING TIME ALLOCATIONS FOR PARTICULAR SUBJECTS WITHIN CLASSROOMS CAN BE BENEFICIAL TO STUDENTS NEEDING ADDITIONAL HELP IF THAT TIME IS DEVOTED TO THE USE OF EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES.

Dewalt and Rodwell (1988) and some of the mastery learning researchers have found that allocating time for reteaching is effective only if the reteaching involves different materials, examples, and demonstrations than those used during initial instruction. In cases where the reteaching is merely a "rehash" of initial instruction, additional time allocation for reteaching does not increase achievement. In general, the same instructional activities which are associated with greater amounts of time-on-task and higher achievement will need to be utilized during extra time allocations in order for that increased time to be beneficial.

A word of caution seems in order here. While some students do appear to benefit from increased learning time, some researchers warn that requiring students to expend more time on learning activities may have some undesirable consequences for at-risk students. Hossler, Stage, and Gallagher (1988) make the following points:

- High-demand classrooms may cause lower-achieving students to work less hard because they feel lost or left behind.
- Greater time demands (e.g., more homework) may force low-income students to choose between school and employment.
- Increased school or homework time demands may interfere with the participation by at-risk students in extracurricular activities--which are sometimes the only bond between these students and their schools. (pp. 2-3)

Closely related to this finding is the discovery made by researchers (e.g., Gettinger 1989; Strother 1984; and Wyne and Stuck 1979) that

ACHIEVEMENT BENEFITS RESULT WHEN TEACHERS WORK WITH THEIR STUDENTS IN SUCH A WAY AS TO REDUCE THE TIME NEEDED FOR
An alternative to increasing the amount of time students spend in learning activities is to decrease the amount of time required for learning. Ways to achieve this which have proven successful in experimental research include providing rewards for passing tests on the first try, and dramatizing or otherwise communicating so much enthusiasm about learning tasks that students apply themselves more fully than they would be likely to do under average learning conditions.

**THE RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE ON MAKING BETTER USE OF EXISTING TIME**

As just noted, increasing student motivation and therefore reducing time needed to learn is one powerful way of using time well. Various other methods for managing time effectively so as to improve student achievement and attitudes are also offered by researchers. Suggestions for teachers include:

- Begin and end lessons on time.
- Reduce transition time between tasks.
- Closely monitor student learning and behavior, including placing students in desk arrangements that allow teacher and students to see one another well from different points in the classroom.
- Establish and follow simple, consistent rules regarding student behavior in the classroom.
- Make certain that students understand what is expected of them and how to measure its accomplishment.
- Select learning tasks resulting in high levels of success.
- Employ objective feedback about the correctness of responses and assignments and provide suggestions for revision of work products or thought processes.
- Require frequent responses and samples of work, including assigning, collecting, and grading homework regularly.
- Cover content as fully as possible.
- Pay attention to the degree of match between curriculum and testing.
- Reduce noninstructional activities whenever possible.

Recommendations for administrators include:

- Make certain that the amounts of time allocated to various curricular subjects truly reflect the relative values placed on these subjects by school staff and community members.
- Encourage inservice activities to help teachers learn to use time more effectively.
- Encourage parents to teach respect for teachers and for schooling as a means to reducing time-consuming disciplinary actions.
- Establish clear school policies about tardies and absenteeism and make certain these are enforced.
- Keep loudspeaker announcements and other interruptions of class time to a minimum.

"As every thread of gold is valuable, so is every moment of time," noted author John Mason. Following the above suggestions can serve to give this precious element the respect
it deserves, and thereby bring about desired improvements in student learning.
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Reviews studies on the effects of allocated and engaged time and, in light of findings, discusses proposals to increase the length of the school year. Data from studies reviewed do not support such an increase as a means of increasing student achievement.


Reviews research on time use in schools, particularly studies on the effects of time-on-task, including the Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study. Noted inconsistent findings regarding the effects of time variables on achievement, with relatively weak positive achievement effects noted for time-on-task.


Describes and summarizes research on the effects of time allocations, engaged time, and ALT on student achievement. Offers cautions about attempts to put research findings into practice.


Uses a model developed by Wiley and Harnischfeger to conduct a study on the quantity an quality of schooling with students in grades 4-6. Major findings: allocated exposure time is related to achievement, even when controlling for other factors; extra engaged time benefits low- and middleability students, but not high-ability students.

Studies the effects of four variables on the mathematics achievement of 42
Australian fifth and seventh graders. All variables were positively related to
achievement. They are, in descending order of effect size, engaged time, IQ,
socioeconomic status, and allocated time.

Levin, H.M., and Tsang, M.C. "The Economics of Student Time." ECONOMICS OF

Cites research studies on the effects of increasing school time (the length of
day or year) on achievement, and also applies economic theory to determine
the likely effects such increases. Concludes that substantial increases in
instructional time would be required to produce even small increases in
achievement.

TIME RELATIONSHIP. Pittsburgh, PA: Learning Research and Development Center,
University of Pittsburgh, 1979. (ED 179 598).

Reviews findings of ten research studies on the effects of instructional time on
achievement. Found a very small positive relationship of allocated time to
achievement and a larger but still modest effect of engaged time to
achievement.

Mazzarella, J.A. "Longer Day, Longer Year: Will They Make a Difference?" PRINCIPAL

Reviews research on relationships between time factors and learning outcomes
and draws conclusions about the likely effects of increasing school time. Cites
supportive and opposing spokespersons. Offers guidelines for making
maximum use of currently available time.

Mevarech, Z.R. "Time Engagement and Achievement in CAI." EDUCATIONAL

Examines the effects of offering children the option of spending extra time on
CAI mathematics activities. Subjects were 166 female Israeli second, third, and
fourth graders. Those who availed themselves of the extra learning time
outperformed those who did not.

McGarity, J.R., Jr., and Butts, D.P. "The Relationship Among Teacher Classroom
Management Behavior, Student Engagement, and Student Achievement of Middle and
High School Science Students of Varying Aptitude." JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN

Examines relationships of various teacher behaviors to time-on-task and the
science achievement of middle school and high school students. Close
monitoring, providing feedback, reteaching, maintaining learner involvement
in lessons, using instructional time effectively, and managing disruptive
behavior efficiently were found to be positively related to achievement.

O'Donnell, H. "Instructional time as related to reading achievement." THE READING
Reviews research reports available through the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) system on the relationships between different measures of instructional time and student achievement. Documents cited indicate positive effects on achievement produced by allocated time, engaged time, and academic learning time (ALT).


Provides the results of a meta-analysis of fifteen studies on the effects of homework on the achievement of elementary and secondary students. Major finding: Assigned homework produced significantly more learning than no homework.


Examines the relationship between different kinds of time use and the achievement effects on fourth grade boys and girls. Cooperative learning activities were most beneficial to girls, while boys fared better with competitive activities. Time-off-task was negatively related to boys' achievement, while engagement in sanctioned social activities was negatively related to girls' achievement.


Examines the relationship between students' thought processes while on task and their achievement and attitudes. Subjects were 72 fifth and sixth graders. Attention to tasks--and particularly a focus on specific cognitive strategies--was positively related to achievement. Selfmotivational thoughts were positively related to achievement.


Summarizes research on the relationship to student achievement of allocated time, time-on-task, and academic learning time. Findings are consistent with those of other studies of these topics.


Reports the results of research on the relationships between each of these three
factors and student achievement. Each was found to bear a strong relationship to achievement outcomes.


Investigates relationships among time use, instructional approaches, achievement, attitude toward teachers, and behavior in junior high English and mathematics classes. Patterns differed for English and math classes.


Cites results of a study of the achievement effects produced by different instructional modes, presence or absence of task relevance and task engagement, and different kinds of task engagement. Sixty first-year algebra student participated. The lecture/discussion method, high task relevance, and on-task behavior (particularly "listening/thinking") were positively related to achievement.


Cites the results of a "best-evidence synthesis" of studies of group-based mastery learning programs. Also criticizes the duration and methodology of many studies claiming significant achievement benefits for mastery learning programs. Correcting for these methodological problems, mastery learning programs were not found to produce greater achievement benefits than nonmastery approaches.


Investigates the relationship between allocated time and achievement in 68 sixth grade classrooms. No significant relationship was found.


Reports the results of two studies focused on the relationships among instructional approaches, time factors, and reading achievement. Students in 87 secondary-level remedial classes evidenced greater achievement gains when involved in larger amounts of interactive learning with their teachers. In the second study, students of teachers receiving training in interactive approaches outperformed students of control teachers.


Assesses the effectiveness of the Napa County Instructional Skills Staff
Development Program. Most participating teachers benefited from the program. Positive relationships were noted between engaged time and achievement in both reading and math. The program improved time-on-task and achievement in math but not in reading.


Summarizes research on time factors in learning and offers suggestions to those seeking to increase student achievement through policies which bear on instructional time.


Reports the results of a study of the relationships between various kinds of on-task behavior and the science achievement of Australian 6th and 7th graders. Among the behaviors of attending, recalling, collecting, comprehending, quantifying, planning, generalizing, noncognitive on-task behaviors, and off-task behaviors, only planning and collecting were positively related to achievement.


Offers insights on the role played by time in learning processes, and gives cross-cultural examples of educational time use and its effects. Speculates that increases in allocated, engaged, and particularly "productive" time (a concept similar to academic learning time) would be likely to improve learning outcomes.


Uses data from a study involving nearly 3,000 13-year-olds to determine the relationship to reading achievement of 24 variables. One significant finding was that time allocations and frequency of verbal activities are positively related to achievement up to a point, then begin to show diminishing returns.


Reports results of the same synthesis effort detailed in Paschal, Weinstein, and Walberg, 1984 (see above).


Uses experimental data and state school attendance figures to determine relationships between quantity of schooling (length of school day and year, attendance rates, etc.) and achievement. Strong, positive relationships were
revealed between these measures and achievement. Offers a model of the relationship between quantity of schooling and student outcomes, and presents policy implications of findings.


Examines the effects on time-on-task and reading achievement of resource room interventions designed to increase student engagement rates through the provision of tangible rewards. Students in grades 2, 3, 5, and 6 participated. Both time-on-task rates and achievement of experimental children increased significantly and improvements were still being maintained at the time observations and data collection ended--four months after the interventions stopped.

OTHER REFERENCES


Draws on classroom research to provide insights into the nature and problems associated with seatwork activities in elementary classrooms--activities which consume an estimated 70 percent of instructional time.

A MEASURE OF STUDENT INVOLVEMENT IN LEARNING: TIME-ON-TASK. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina, no date. (ED 110 504).

Presents and describes methods for measuring student time-on-task and suggests ways these methods can be applied in classrooms.


Discusses time factors research, focusing on such topics as time needed to learn, matching instruction to students' characteristics, ideal learning times, pacing, and classroom management.


Argues that time, along with the learner, the teacher, the milieu, and the subject matter, needs to be given adequate consideration when making curriculum decisions.


Presents findings from the large-scale Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study
regarding time factors. Very large variations were found in the amounts of allocated time, engaged time, and academic learning time (ALT) for different content and subskill areas examined in second and fifth grade classrooms.


Presents a research-based theory of the way that learning takes place and discusses the advantages of mastery learning approaches in helping all students achieve at their potential and have positive views of themselves as learners.


Reviews early research on time factors and learning outcomes and discusses mastery learning as a potential solution to the problems associated with differences in student learning rates and attention levels.


Presents insights from educational psychologist David Berliner, who discusses time allocations, engaged time, match between instruction and school/district goals, classroom management, and classroom climate. Berliner views in-classroom coaching as the best way to improve teaching.


Advances a model for learning as a function of time needed and time spent. Suggests directions for future research.


Investigates the relationship between teaching approaches and student engagement rates in 32 elementary ("junior") classes in the British school system. Found that greater amounts of whole class instruction were associated with higher levels of student time-on-task.


Draws from research on time factors and research on teaching the hearing impaired to make a series of recommendations regarding effective time use with hearing impaired students.


Investigates grouping factors related to engaged time in 74 inner-city
classrooms serving grades 1-6. The major findings was that students were much more on-task during whole class instruction than in small group instruction.


Describes the development and testing of a method for predicting achievement through observation of student behaviors and time-on-task.


Examines some of the data from the Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study to identify which kinds of classroom organization and teaching behavior are related to student engagement rates and achievement.


Describes a study of time use in mathematics classes conducted in eight British secondary schools. The relationship to outcomes was not explored, but researchers did determine that only about half the time allocated for mathematics instruction was actually spent in on-task behavior by students.


Discusses various instructional time factors, including duration, timing, and pace. Emphasizes that the ways learning activities are organized is a more important variable than duration of instruction.


Offers research-based recommendations to help teachers make better use of class time.


Summarizes the results of three studies on instructional time use and, based on results, offers suggestions for approaches to be taken by future research projects.

Reviews the quality and effectiveness of contemporary U.S. education and concludes that American students and graduates have less knowledge and skill than their counterparts in other industrialized nations. Suggests possible avenues for reform.


Defines different kinds of school-related time concepts, offers comparative information about the different ways time is allocated and used, and presents suggestions for ways to increase the productivity of instructional time use.


Draws upon findings from time factors research to make suggestions to teacher educators regarding ways to train teachers to use classroom time more effectively.


Discusses findings from the Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study regarding time allocations and levels of time-on-task among the second and fifth graders participating in that study.


Discusses research on instructional time use in schools and offers implications for educational practitioners. Findings include: only about 60 percent of the school day is actually available for instruction, girls are on-task more than boys, high-ability students are on-task more than low-ability students, and the average student is on-task 70 to 75 percent of the time.


Reviews the history of teacher effectiveness research and that of time factors research in particular. Presents implications for practitioners of research on instructional time.


Describes two case studies of time use by junior high school English teachers, and argues that the methods for analyzing time use used in the study can be
effectively applied by teachers to analyze their own use of time and make appropriate changes.


Describes an instructional approach, the Adaptive Learning Environments Model, based on findings from effective teaching research, and presents results of a study of ALEM's effects on student achievement in a laboratory school setting.


Offers a review and critique of time as perceived as used in education, and presents four articles related to this theme: "A Model of School Learning" by J.B. Carroll, "About Time for Educational Reform" by H.M. Levin, "Reflections on the History of the Concept of Time" by G.J. Whitrow, and "The Secondary School: Administrative Wonder and Educational Absurdity" by K. Harris.


Presents a rationale for tracking academic learning time in classrooms, describes a method for such tracking, and offers ways that the information gathered can be put to use.
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